

## **CONSORT 2010** checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial\*

Section/Topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on page No
Title and abstract			
	1a	Identification as a randomised trial in the title	Page 1.
	1b	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts)	Page 2.
Introduction			
Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	Page 3.
objectives	2b	Specific objectives or hypotheses	Page 3.
Methods			
Trial design	3a	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	Page 4.
	3b	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons	Page 4.
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	Page 4.
	4b	Settings and locations where the data were collected	Page 4.
Interventions	5	The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered	Page 4.; 5.
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed	Page 5.; 6.
	6b	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons	NA
Sample size	7a	How sample size was determined	Page 7.
	7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	NA
Randomisation:			
Sequence	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	Page 7.
generation	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	Page 7.
Allocation concealment mechanism	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	Page 7.
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions	Page 7.
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those	It was not

CONSORT 2010 checklist Page 1

		assessing outcomes) and how	possible to blind therapist and patients
	11b	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions	Page 6.
Statistical methods	12a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	Page 7.; 8.
	12b	Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses	Page 7.
Results			
Participant flow (a	13a	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and	Page 8; 9.;
diagram is strongly		were analysed for the primary outcome	Fig.1.
recommended)	13b	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons	Page 8; 9.;
			Fig.1.
Recruitment	14a	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	Page 8.
	14b	Why the trial ended or was stopped	NA
Baseline data	15	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	Table 1. Page
			10.
Numbers analysed	16	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was	Page 8; 9.;
		by original assigned groups	Fig.1.
Outcomes and	17a	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its	Page 11.;
estimation		precision (such as 95% confidence interval)	Table 2.; Fig.
			2.; Appendix
	17h	For hingry outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended	A
Ancillant analyses	17b 18	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended	NA Dogg 11 :
Ancillary analyses	10	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	Page 11.; Table 3.;
		pre-specified from exploratory	Appendix B,
			C.
Harms	19	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)	NA
	10	7 th important riaining of animitoriaga officers in Gastrigioup (for specific galacine see Gottockt for hams)	101
<b>Discussion</b> Limitations	20	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses	Page 18.
Generalisability	21	Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings	Page 19.
Interpretation	22	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence	Page 17.
·	<b>ZZ</b>	interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and flatins, and considering other relevant evidence	ray <del>c</del> 17.
Other information			

CONSORT 2010 checklist Page 2

Registration	23	Registration number and name of trial registry	Page 2.
			Netherlands
			Trial Register,
			ID: NL7157
			(NTR7356)
Protocol	24	Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available	https://doi.or
			g/10.1186/s1
			<u>3063-019-</u>
			<u>3913-3</u>
			(REF.22.)
Funding	25	Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders	Page 19

<sup>\*</sup>We strongly recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration for important clarifications on all the items. If relevant, we also recommend reading CONSORT extensions for cluster randomised trials, non-inferiority and equivalence trials, non-pharmacological treatments, herbal interventions, and pragmatic trials. Additional extensions are forthcoming: for those and for up to date references relevant to this checklist, see <a href="https://www.consort-statement.org">www.consort-statement.org</a>.

CONSORT 2010 checklist Page 3