

Energy intake and expenditure in obese older adults with and without type 2 diabetes

Author(s)

Memelink, R.G.; Verreijen, A.M.; de Vogel-van den Bosch, J.; Weijs, P.J.

Publication date

2017

Document Version

Final published version


Published in

Abstracts of the 39th ESPEN Congress

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Memelink, R. G., Verreijen, A. M., de Vogel-van den Bosch, J., & Weijs, P. J. (2017). Energy intake and expenditure in obese older adults with and without type 2 diabetes. In N. E. P. Deutz, J. A. Mays, & S. C. Roeske (Eds.), *Abstracts of the 39th ESPEN Congress: 9-12 September 2017 • The Hague, Netherlands* (pp. S232). (Clinical Nutrition; Vol. 36, No. supplement 1). Elsevier.

**General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please contact the library: <https://www.amsterdamuas.com/library/contact>, or send a letter to: University Library (Library of the University of Amsterdam and Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences), Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Table 1:

REE (kcal)	GLOBAL (n = 180)			ADULTS (n = 102)			ELDERLY (n = 78)		
	Mean	SD	r	Mean	SD	r	Mean	SD	r
Indirect Calorimetry	1891,53	±723,58	1	1928,33	±661,76	1	1843,40	±799,12	1
Harris-Benedict	1414,38*	±229,12	0,33**	1501,44*	±237,79	0,35**	1300,53*	±157,16	0,35**
Ireton-Jones	1535,98*	±378,02	0,23**	1747,29*	±316,28	0,21*	1259,64*	±253,91	0,32**
MifflinSt.Jeor 1990	1339,39*	±239,65	0,40**	1419,46*	±234,55	0,42**	1234,68*	±204,33	0,40**

Friedman Test *p-value <0,05 r- Pearson correlation * < 0,05 and ** <0,01.

References

- Harris JA. and Benedict FG. A biometric study of human basal metabolism. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 1918;4: 370-373.
- Ireton-Jones C, Jones JD. Improved equations for predicting energy expenditure in patients: The Ireton-Jones equations. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2002;17:29-31.
- Mifflin MD, Stjeor ST and cols. A New Predictive Equation for Resting Energy-Expenditure in Healthy-Individuals. *AJCN*. 1990;51(2):241-7.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared.

MON-P144

ENERGY INTAKE AND EXPENDITURE IN OBESE OLDER ADULTS WITH AND WITHOUT TYPE 2 DIABETES

R. G. Memelink^{1*}, A. M. Verreijen¹, J. De Vogel-Van den Bosch², P. J. Weijs¹. ¹Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, School of Sports and Nutrition, Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences, Amsterdam, ²Nutricia Advanced Medical Nutrition, Nutricia Research, Utrecht, Netherlands

Rationale: Obesity is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes (DM2), however not all obese people develop DM2. We explored differences in energy intake and expenditure between obese older adults with and without DM2.

Methods: Baseline data from 2 lifestyle interventions with a total of 202 obese older adults were included in the analyses. Obesity was defined as BMI > 30.0, or >27.0 with waist circumference >88 (women) or >102 cm (men). DM2 was confirmed by use of diabetes medication. Subjects were between 55 and 85 years old and 45% was female. Energy intake (EI) was measured by 3-day food diary and physical activity level (PAL) by 3-day movement diary. Resting energy expenditure (REE) was measured using indirect calorimetry and total energy expenditure (TEE) was calculated as REE x PAL. Between group differences were analysed with independent samples T-tests.

Results: The obese group with DM2 (n = 117) had more males (67.5% vs 37.6% p < 0.001) and similar BMI (33.3 vs 33.0 kg/m²) compared to the group without DM2 (n = 85). Analyses of males and females separately showed lower PAL in males with DM2 (vs without DM2; 1.37 vs 1.45, p = 0.015), without differences in EI (2055 vs 1953 kcal/d), REE (1970 vs 1929 kcal/d), and TEE (2699 vs 2830 kcal/d). In females with DM2, both PAL (1.38 vs 1.47, p = 0.014) and EI (1543 vs 1839 kcal/d, p = 0.008) were significantly lower, whereas REE (1592 vs 1598 kcal/d) and TEE (2220 vs 2318 kcal/d) did not differ significantly from obese females without DM2.

Conclusion: In both males and females, obese older adults with type 2 diabetes showed similar resting and total energy expenditure but lower physical activity level compared to

those without DM2. Females with DM2 showed lower energy intake. On average, subjects seem to have a negative energy balance, which is probably due to a combination of under-reporting of intake and over-reporting of activity.

Disclosure of Interest: R. Memelink Grant / Research Support from: Baseline data obtained from Nutricia Research co-funded trials, A. Verreijen: None declared, J. De Vogel-Van den Bosch Other: Employee Nutricia Research, P. Weijs: None declared.

MON-P145

THE EFFECT OF DIETARY NITRATE SUPPLEMENTATION ON MECHANICAL EFFICIENCY AND CARDIOMETABOLIC RISK PROFILE IN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE

R. J. H. C. G. Beijers^{1*}, S. Huysmans¹, C. van de Bool¹, B. R. M. Kingma², L. B. Verdijk², L. J. C. van Loon², S. J. Meex³, H. R. Gosker¹, A. M. W. J. Schols¹. ¹Department of Respiratory Medicine, ²Department of Human Biology and Movement Sciences, NUTRIM School of Nutrition and Translational Research in Metabolism, Maastricht University Medical Centre+, ³Department of Clinical Chemistry, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht, Netherlands

Rationale: Many patients with COPD have a decreased mechanical efficiency during exercise and are at increased cardiometabolic risk. Dietary nitrate supplementation may reduce oxygen requirements during submaximal exercise, improve exercise performance and lower blood pressure. This study determines the impact of acute and 7-days dietary nitrate supplementation on mechanical efficiency and cardiometabolic risk profile in patients with COPD.

Methods: In a double-blind, randomized cross-over placebo-controlled trial 18 COPD patients were included with moderate airflow obstruction and exercise impairment, normal BMI (25.9 ± 3.4 kg/m²) but high prevalence of abdominal obesity (77.8%) and moderately decreased mechanical efficiency. Subjects were randomly allocated to the treatment order of 7 days sodium nitrate ingestion (~8 mmol per day) and 7 days placebo (NaCl solution), separated by one week washout. Before (day 1) and after (day 7) both intervention periods mechanical efficiency during submaximal cycle ergometry, plasma nitrate and nitrite levels, cardiac plasma markers (e.g. high-sensitive troponin T (Hs-TNT), Nt-proBNP and creatinine kinase (CK)) and blood pressure were measured.

Results: Plasma nitrate and nitrite concentrations increased at day 1 (7-fold and 2-fold, respectively) and day 7 (8-fold and 2-fold, respectively) after sodium nitrate compared with placebo ingestion. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure did not change following nitrate ingestion. Furthermore, no differences were observed in mechanical efficiency during submaximal exercise and no changes were observed in Hs-TNT, CK and Nt-proBNP concentrations between the nitrate and placebo treatment.

Conclusion: Acute as well as 7-days of dietary nitrate supplementation does not increase mechanical efficiency or improve cardiometabolic risk profile in mild-to-moderate COPD patients.

Disclosure of Interest: None declared.