Appendix

1. Appendix Notes:

Note 1: Safety: "Red flags"

Strength of recommendation: moderate

The criteria for the recommendation (fig 2) have values 1 and 2.

The suggested criteria are of significance for the judgment of the hemodynamic stability, cardiorespiratory reserve and the level of awareness, to be able to safely mobilise out of bed and/or activate an intensive care patient.

Literature:

Adler 2012: level: A1, Schweickert 2009: level A2, Burtin 2009: level B, Bourdin 2010: level C, Morris 2008: level C, Bailey 2007: level C, Brimioulle 1997: level C, Kasotakis 2012: level C, Hanekom 2011: level D, Nordon-Craft 2012: level D, Stiller 2003: level D, Kress 2009: level D, Leditschke 2012: level B, Needham 2010: level B, Balas 2014: level B, Damluji 2013: level C, Mah 2013: level B, Olkowski 2013: level C, Perme 2013: level B, Roth 2013: level B, Sricharoenchai 2014: level B, Wilcox 2013, level D, Rod 2013: level D.

Note 2: Clinimetrics for physical assessment

• Responsiveness:

Strength of recommendation: Moderate

The recommendation to measure responsiveness values between 1 and 4. Responsiveness shows the awareness and the ability of the patient to react to a task. It is important to differentiate between cooperative and non-cooperative reactions. To measure the responsiveness of the IC-patient, the following clinimetric tools are advised:

- Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale (RASS): Measures the awareness of the ICpatient: Scientific conclusion of value 1.
- Standardized Five Questions (S5Q): Measures the cooperation of the IC patient: Scientific conclusion of value 4.

Literature:

Sessler 2002: level: reliability study, Ely 2003: level B, Adler 2012: level A1,
Gosselink 2008, 2011: level D, Robinson 2013: level A1

Joint mobility:

Strength of recommendation: Low

The recommendation to measure joint mobility has a value 4.

Evidence states that the risk of contractures increases, if range of motion (ROM) is not assessed in the first week of intensive care unit admission.

Active and passive ROM (AROM, PROM) should be measured with a goniometer according to the neutral-zero method and should measure large joints such as: Shoulder, elbow, wrist, hip, knee and ankle.

Literature:

o Ryf 1999: level D, Clavet 2008, 2011: level C, Gosselink 2008, 2011: level D.

Muscle strength:

Strength of recommendation: Moderate

The recommendation regarding the choice of measurement tools has value 2. Measuring muscle strength in the intensive care is reliable (level 2).

The following clinimetric tools are advised to measure muscle strength in an intensive care patient

Musculoskeletal system:

- Manual Muscle Testing (MMT): MRC (sum)score
- Hand held dynamometer (HHD) or hand grip strength (Jamar) if an MRC-score of 3 has been reached

<u>Literature:</u>

 Nordon-Craft 2012: level D, Fan 2010: level: Hermans 2012: level: reliability study, Vanpee 2011: level: reliability study, Vanpee 2014: level A1, Baldwin 2013: level: reliability study.

• Muscle tone:

Strength of recommendation: Low

The recommendation to measure muscle tone has value 4.

Literature has not reached consensus on what clinimetric tool to use to measure muscle tone in intensive care patients. The Dutch stroke guideline (KNGF richtlijn beroerte, 2014) recommends the Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS) to measure the resistance against passive movement.

The MAS can be used to assess the muscle tone of an intensive care patient in the intensive care setting.

Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS)

Literature:

 Royal Dutch Physiotherapy Association (KNGF) guideline stroke 2014: level: guideline, Bohannon 1987: level: reliability study.

• Sensibility:

Strength of recommendation: Low

The recommendation to measure sensibility has value 4.

Literature has not reached consensus regarding the measurement of sensibility, coordination and proprioception in intensive care patients. Burtin (2009) states that cycling 20 minutes daily on the ward might affect muscle coordination and thereby leading to improved physical functioning.

The Dutch stroke guideline (KNGF richtlijn beroerte, 2014) advises to use the (Modified) Nottingham Sensory Assessment (NSA) to test sensibility and proprioception.

The (Modified) Nottingham Sensory Assessment (NSA) can be used to assess the sensibility, coordination and proprioception in an intensive care patient in the intensive care setting.

(Modified) Nottingham Sensory Assessment (NSA)

Literature:

 Royal Dutch Physiotherapy Association (KNGF) guideline stroke 2014: level: guideline, Bohannon 1987: level: reliability study.

• Balance:

Balance will be evaluated within the DE Morton Mobility Index (DEMMI). See: Note 2, functional status

• Functional Status:

Strength of recommendation: Low The recommendation has value 4.

No consensus has been met about the use of clinimetrics on the activity level in intensive care patients. Moreover, many measurement tools are not reliable and have not yet been

validated for the intensive care population.

Many measurement tools have a floor or ceiling effect. This means that they are not applicable for the intensive care. In literature, many of these instruments are first being used after the patient has been discharged from the intensive care unit.

In elderly patients, the DE Morton Mobility Index (DEMMI) has been used during hospital intake. This tool is able to detect small clinical differences, can be used from a low level, does not have a ceiling effect and does not need a lot of material or time for its performances.

The DEMMI includes items of the Berg Balance Scale (BBS), Barthel Index (BI) and the Functional Independence Measure (FIM).

To measure the level of activity in the intensive care, experts advice to use the DEMMI instead of the Functional Status Score for the Intensive Care Unit (FSS-ICU). The DEMMI has been tested on reliability and validity in the clinical setting (although only in elderly patients and not in the intensive care population).

The following clinimetric tools can be used to assess the level of activity of an intensive care patient in the intensive care setting:

DEMMI

Literature:

NICE: level guideline, Adler 2012: level A1, Nordon-Craft 2012: level D, Thomas 2009 and 2011: level A2, Burtin 2009: level B, Kasotakis 2012: level C, Winkelman 2012: level B, Zanni 2010: level C, Gosselink 2008: level D, Gosselink 2011: level D, De Morton 2008: level reliability study, Denehy 2013: level reliability study, Tipping 2012: level D, Hodgson 2014: level D, Trush 2012: level B.

Note 3: Interventions

Strength of recommendation: Low

The recommendations given for physiotherapeutic treatments are based on literature with values 1, 2, 3 and expert opinions.

The effects of physiotherapeutic interventions on deconditioning of intensive care patients are based on values of 1, 2 and 3 (see table 2).

Effects on the level of anatomical features, such as preventing a decrease in protein levels and an increase in inflammatory inhibitors, can be reached through minimally training the muscles actively or passively or by using a Continuous Passive Motion (CPM) for 20 minutes.

Literature:

 Hanekom 2011: D, Schweickert 2009: level A2, Gruther 2010: level A2, Gerovasili 2009: level B,

Karatzanos 2012: level B, Poulsen 2011: level B, Routsi 2010: level B, Martin 2011: level A2, Cader 2010: level B, Caruso 2005: level B, Burtin 2009: level B, Morris 2008: level C, Chang 2005: level C, Moodie 2011: level A1, Griffiths 1995: level B, Meesen 2010: level B, Winkelman 2012: level B, Reid 2004: level B, Clavet 2008 and 2011: level C, Gosselink 2008, 2011: level D, Moree 2011: level D, Heather 2008: level D, Genc 2012: level B, Chang 2011: level B, Zafiropoulos 2004: level C, Stiller 2004: level C, Kraemer 2002: level D, Kho 2012: level D, Romer 2003: level B, Amidei 2013: level B, Angelopoulos 2013: level B, Calvo-Ayala 2013; Level A2, Camargo Pires-Neto 2013: level D, Chen 2012: level B, Hermans 2014: level A1, Parry 2013: level A1, Kayambu 2013: level A1, Li 2013: level A1, Hirose 2013: level B, Stockley 2012: level D, Stiller 2013: level D, Rodrigues 2012: level B, Williams 2014: level A1.

Note 4: Recommendation of qualitative and quantitative training aspects

No evidence available in detail in intensive care patients.

Due to the fact that there are insufficient foundations on parameters of training and exercise physiology in the intensive care, no recommendations can be provided on training variables and progression in in training to increase the musculoskeletal and cardiopulmonary systems in intensive care patient.

In order to guarantee the safety during training, it is advised to monitor the criteria on when to terminate training (See note 6).

It is advised to monitor and evaluate the effort with the use of the duration, number of repetitions and the BORG scale (see note 7) (scientific conclusion of level 3 and 4)

Literature:

o Morree 2011: level D, Burtin 2009: level B, Winkelman 2012: level B, Morris 2008: level C, Hanekom 2011: level D, Babb 2012: level D, Kraemer 2002: level D, Gosselink 2008: level D, Amidei 2012, level D.

Note 5: Parameters

Strength of recommendation: Moderate The parameters have values 1 and 2

The following recommendation parameters are necessary to monitor the safety of an intensive care patient during mobilization and activity.

- Clinical view:
 - o Decreased level of awareness/consciousness
 - Sweating
 - o Abnormal face colour
 - o Pain
 - o Fatigue
- Heart rate
- Blood pressure
- Oxygen saturation
- Respiratory frequency

Literature:

Hanekom 2011: level D, Adler 2012: level A1, Schweickert 2009: level A2, Stiller 2003 and 2007: level D, Brimioulle 1997: level B, Kasotakis 2012: level C, Winkelman 2012: level B, Bourdin 2010: level C, Bailey 2007: level C, Thomsen 2008: level C, Kress 2009: level D, Burtin 2009: level B, Zanni 2010: level C.

Note 6: Termination criteria

Strength of recommendation: Moderate The recommendation has values 1 and 2

The criteria to terminate exercise with an intensive care patient are of importance to assess the load of the cardiorespiratory system of an intensive care patient.

It is advised to terminate treatment if the following criteria are met:

- o Heart rate: <40; >130
- o Blood pressure (MAP): <65 mmHg; >110 mmHg
- o Respiratory frequency: > 40 p/min
- Oxygen Saturation: <90%
- o Arrhythmia
- O Clinical symptoms:
 - o Decreased level of awareness/consciousness
 - Sweating
 - o Abnormal face colour
 - o Pain
 - o Fatigue

<u>Literature:</u>

Adler 2012: level A1, Schweickert 2009: level A2, Winkelman 2012: level B, Burtin 2009: level B, Bourdin 2010: level C, Morris 2008: level C, Stiller 2003 level: D, Hanekom 2011: level D, Mah 2013: level B.

Note 7: Evaluation of interventions

Strength of recommendation: Moderate

The recommendation related to the monitoring of safety have value 1 and 2. In relation to physiotherapeutic interventions, with value 3 and 4.

The recommended parameters are of importance for safe treatment, monitoring and evaluating the physiotherapeutic interventions of an intensive care patient.

The following parameters may be used to monitor, assess and/or evaluate the intensity of the effort on the intensive care patient:

- O Clinical view:
 - o Decreased level of awareness/consciousness
 - Sweating
 - o Abnormal face colour
 - o Pain
 - o Fatigue
- Heart rate
- o Blood pressure
- Oxygen saturation
- Respiratory frequency
- o Tidal Volume
- Treatment frequency
- o Number of repetitions
- Number of sets
- Duration of the activity
- BORG scale

Literature:

Hanekom 2011: level D, Adler 2012: level A1, Schweickert 2009: level A2, Stiller 2003 and 2007: level D, Brimioulle 1997: level B, Kasotakis 2012: level C, Winkelman 2012: level B, Bourdin 2010: level C, Bailey 2007: level C, Thomsen 2008: level C, Kress 2009: level D, Burtin 2009: level B, Zanni 2010: level C, Morree 2011: level D, Gosselink 2008: level D, Amidei 2012, level

2. Appendix: references of the notes:

- 1. Adler J, Malone D. Early mobilization in the intensive care unit: a systematic review. Cardiopulmonary physical therapy journal. 2012;23(1):5-13.
- 2. Amidei C. Measurement of physiologic responses to mobilisation in critically ill adults. Intensive & critical care nursing: the official journal of the British Association of Critical Care Nurses. 2012;28(2):58-72.
- 3. Amidei C, Sole ML. Physiological responses to passive exercise in adults receiving mechanical ventilation. American journal of critical care: an official publication, American Association of Critical-Care Nurses. 2013;22(4):337-48.
- 4. Angelopoulos E, Karatzanos E, Dimopoulos S, Mitsiou G, Stefanou C, Patsaki I, et al. Acute microcirculatory effects of medium frequency versus high frequency neuromuscular electrical stimulation in critically ill patients a pilot study. Annals of intensive care. 2013;3(1):39.
- 5. Babb T, Levine B, Philley J. ICU-acquired weakness: an extension of the effects of bed rest. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2012;185(2):230-1.
- 6. Bailey P, Thomsen GE, Spuhler VJ, Blair R, Jewkes J, Bezdjian L, et al. Early activity is feasible and safe in respiratory failure patients. Crit Care Med. 2007;35(1):139-45.
- 7. Balas MC, Vasilevskis EE, Olsen KM, Schmid KK, Shostrom V, Cohen MZ, et al. Effectiveness and safety of the awakening and breathing coordination, delirium monitoring/management, and early exercise/mobility bundle. Critical care medicine. 2014;42(5):1024-36.
- 8. Baldwin CE, Paratz JD, Bersten AD. Muscle strength assessment in critically ill patients with handheld dynamometry: an investigation of reliability, minimal detectable change, and time to peak force generation. Journal of critical care. 2013;28(1):77-86.
- 9. Bohannon RW, Smith MB. Interrater reliability of a modified Ashworth scale of muscle spasticity. Physical therapy. 1987;67(2):206-7.
- 10. Bourdin G, Barbier J, Burle JF, Durante G, Passant S, Vincent B, et al. The feasibility of early physical activity in intensive care unit patients: a prospective observational one-center study. Respir Care. 2010;55(4):400-7.
- 11. Brimioulle S, Moraine JJ, Norrenberg D, Kahn RJ. Effects of positioning and exercise on intracranial pressure in a neurosurgical intensive care unit. Physical therapy. 1997;77(12):1682-9.
- 12. Burtin C, Clerckx B, Robbeets C, Ferdinande P, Langer D, Troosters T, et al. Early exercise in critically ill patients enhances short-term functional recovery. Critical care medicine. 2009;37(9):2499-505.
- 13. Cader SA, Vale RGD, Castro JC, Bacelar SC, Biehl C, Gomes MCV, et al. Inspiratory muscle training improves maximal inspiratory pressure and may assist weaning in older intubated patients: a randomised trial. J Physiother. 2010;56(3):171-7.
- 14. Calvo-Ayala E, Khan BA, Farber MO, Ely EW, Boustani MA. Interventions to improve the physical function of ICU survivors: a systematic review. Chest. 2013;144(5):1469-80.
- 15. Camargo Pires-Neto R, Fogaca Kawaguchi YM, Sayuri Hirota A, Fu C, Tanaka C, Caruso P, et al. Very early passive cycling exercise in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients: physiological and safety aspects--a case series. PloS one. 2013;8(9):e74182.

- 16. Caruso P, Denari S, Ruiz S, Bernal K, Manfrin G, Friedrich C. Inspiratory muscle training is ineffective in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients. Clinics. 2005;6:479-84.
- 17. Chang AT, Boots RJ, Brown MG, Paratz J, Hodges PW. Reduced inspiratory muscle endurance following successful weaning from prolonged mechanical ventilation. Chest. 2005;128(2):553-9.
- 18. Chang MY, Chang LY, Huang YC, Lin KM, Cheng CH. Chair-sitting exercise intervention does not improve respiratory muscle function in mechanically ventilated intensive care unit patients. Respir Care. 2011;56(10):1533-8.
- 19. Chen , Lin HL, Hsiao HF, Chou LT, Kao KC, Huang CC, et al. Effects of exercise training on pulmonary mechanics and functional status in patients with prolonged mechanical ventilation. Respir Care. 2012;57(5):727-34.
- 20. Clavet, Hebert PC, Fergusson D, Doucette S, Trudel G. Joint contracture following prolonged stay in the intensive care unit. CMAJ. 2008;178(6):691-7.
- 21. Clavet, Hebert PC, Fergusson DA, Doucette S, Trudel G. Joint contractures in the intensive care unit: association with resource utilization and ambulatory status at discharge. Disability and rehabilitation. 2011;33(2):105-12.
- 22. Damluji A, Zanni JM, Mantheiy E, Colantuoni E, Kho ME, Needham DM. Safety and feasibility of femoral catheters during physical rehabilitation in the intensive care unit. Journal of critical care. 2013;28(4):535 e9-15.
- 23. de Morton NA, Davidson M, Keating JL. The de Morton Mobility Index (DEMMI): an essential health index for an ageing world. Health and quality of life outcomes. 2008;6:63.
- 24. Denehy L, de Morton NA, Skinner EH, Edbrooke L, Haines K, Warrillow S, et al. A physical function test for use in the intensive care unit: validity, responsiveness, and predictive utility of the physical function ICU test (scored). Physical therapy. 2013;93(12):1636-45.
- 25. Ely EW, Truman B, Shintani A, Thomason JW, Wheeler AP, Gordon S, et al. Monitoring sedation status over time in ICU patients: reliability and validity of the Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS). JAMA. 2003;289(22):2983-91.
- 26. Fan E, Ciesla ND, Truong AD, Bhoopathi V, Zeger SL, Needham DM. Inter-rater reliability of manual muscle strength testing in ICU survivors and simulated patients. Intensive care medicine. 2010;36(6):1038-43.
- 27. Genc A, Ozyurek S, Koca U, Gunerli A. Respiratory and hemodynamic responses to mobilization of critically ill obese patients. Cardiopulmonary physical therapy journal. 2012;23(1):14-8.
- 28. Gerovasili V, Stefanidis K, Vitzilaios K, Karatzanos E, Politis P, Koroneos A, et al. Electrical muscle stimulation preserves the muscle mass of critically ill patients: a randomized study. Critical care. 2009;13(5):R161.
- 29. Gerovasili V, Tripodaki E, Karatzanos E, Pitsolis T, Markaki V, Zervakis D, et al. Short-term systemic effect of electrical muscle stimulation in critically ill patients. Chest. 2009;136(5):1249-56.
- 30. Gosselink R, Bott J, Johnson M, Dean E, Nava S, Norrenberg M, et al. Physiotherapy for adult patients with critical illness: recommendations of the European Respiratory Society and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine Task Force on Physiotherapy for Critically III Patients. Intensive care medicine. 2008;34(7):1188-99.

- 31. Gosselink R, Clerckx B, Robbeets C, Vanhullebusch T, Vanpee G, Segers J. Physiotherapy in the intensive care unit. Neth J Crit Care. 2011;15(2):66-75.
- 32. Griffiths RD, Palmer TE, Helliwell T, MacLennan P, MacMillan RR. Effect of passive stretching on the wasting of muscle in the critically ill. Nutrition. 1995;11(5):428-32.
- 33. Gruther, Kainberger F, Fialka-Moser V, Paternostro-Sluga T, Quittan M, Spiss C, et al. Effects of neuromuscular electrical stimulation on muscle layer thickness of knee extensor muscles in intensive care unit patients: a pilot study. Journal of rehabilitation medicine. 2010;42(6):593-7.
- 34. Hanekom S, Gosselink R, Dean E, van Aswegen , Roos R, Ambrosino N, et al. The development of a clinical management algorithm for early physical activity and mobilization of critically ill patients: synthesis of evidence and expert opinion and its translation into practice. Clinical rehabilitation. 2011;25(9):771-87.
- 35. Heather L. Splinting in the Intensice care unit. CMAJ. 2008;178(13):1688.
- 36. Hermans G, Clerckx B, Vanhullebusch T, Segers J, Vanpee G, Robbeets C, et al. Interobserver agreement of Medical Research Council-sum score and handgrip strength in the ICU. Muscle Nerve. 2012:18-25.
- 37. Hermans G, De Jonghe B, Bruyninckx F, Van den Berghe G. Interventions for preventing critical illness polyneuropathy and critical illness myopathy. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2014;1:CD006832.
- 38. Hirose T, Shiozaki T, Shimizu K, Mouri T, Noguchi K, Ohnishi M, et al. The effect of electrical muscle stimulation on the prevention of disuse muscle atrophy in patients with consciousness disturbance in the intensive care unit. Journal of critical care. 2013;28(4):536 e1-7.
- 39. Hodgson C, Needham D, Haines K, Bailey M, Ward A, Harrold M, et al. Feasibility and inter-rater reliability of the ICU Mobility Scale. Heart & lung: the journal of critical care. 2014;43(1):19-24.
- 40. Karatzanos L. EMS an effective form of exercise and early mobilization to preserve muscle strength in critically ill patients. Critical care research and practice. 2012:1-8.
- 41. Kasotakis G, Schmidt U, Perry D, Grosse-Sundrup M, Benjamin J, Ryan C, et al. The surgical intensive care unit optimal mobility score predicts mortality and length of stay. Critical care medicine. 2012;40(4):1122-8.
- 42. Kayambu G, Boots R, Paratz J. Physical therapy for the critically ill in the ICU: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Critical care medicine. 2013;41(6):1543-54.
- 43. Kho ME, Truong AD, Brower RG, Palmer JB, Fan E, Zanni JM, et al. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation for intensive care unit-acquired weakness: protocol and methodological implications for a randomized, sham-controlled, phase II trial. Physical therapy. 2012;92(12):1564-79.
- 44. Kraemer WJ, Adams K, Cafarelli E, Dudley GA, Dooly C, Feigenbaum MS, et al. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Progression models in resistance training for healthy adults. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2002;34(2):364-80.
- 45. Kress JP. Clinical trials of early mobilization of critically ill patients. Critical care medicine. 2009;37(10 Suppl):S442-7.
- 46. Leditschke A, Green M, Irvine J, Bissett B, Mitchell I. What are the barriers to mobilizing intensive care patients? Cardiopulmonary Physical Therapy Journal. 2012;23:26-9.

- 47. Li Z, Peng X, Zhu B, Zhang , Xi X. Active mobilization for mechanically ventilated patients: a systematic review. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2013;94(3):551-61.
- 48. Lincoln N, Jackson J, Adams S. Reliability and revision of the Nottingham sensory assessment for stroke patients. Physiotherapy. 1998;84(8):358-65.
- 49. Mah JW, Staff I, Fichandler D, Butler KL. Resource-efficient mobilization programs in the intensive care unit: who stands to win? American journal of surgery. 2013;206(4):488-93.
- 50. Martin AD, Smith BK, Davenport PD, Harman E, Gonzalez-Rothi RJ, Baz M, et al. Inspiratory muscle strength training improves weaning outcome in failure to wean patients: a randomized trial. Critical care. 2011;15(2):R84.
- 51. Meesen RL, Dendale P, Cuypers K, Berger J, Hermans A, Thijs, et al. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation as a possible means to prevent muscle tissue wasting in artificially ventilated and sedated patients in the intensive care unit: A pilot study. Neuromodulation: journal of the International Neuromodulation Society. 2010;13(4):315-20; discussion 21.
- 52. Moodie L. Inspiratory muscle training inceases inspiratory muscle stregth in patients weaning from mechanical ventilation; a systematic reiew. J Physiother. 2011;57:213-21.
- 53. Morree. Inspanningsfysiologie, oefentherapie en training2011.
- 54. Morris PE, Goad A, Thompson C, Taylor K, Harry B, Passmore L, et al. Early intensive care unit mobility therapy in the treatment of acute respiratory failure. Critical care medicine. 2008;36(8):2238-43.
- 55. National Institute for HC, Excellence. Rehabilitaion after critical illness. NICE clinical guideline 83. 2009.
- 56. Needham D. Early physical medicine and rehabilitation for patients with acute respiratory failure: a quility improvement project. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2010;91:536-42.
- 57. Nordon-Craft A, Moss M, Quan D, Schenkman M. Intensive care unit-acquired weakness: implications for physical therapist management. Physical therapy. 2012;92(12):1494-506.
- 58. Olkowski BF, Devine MA, Slotnick LE, Veznedaroglu E, Liebman KM, Arcaro ML, et al. Safety and easibility of an early mobilization program for patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. Physical therapy. 2013;93(2):208-15.
- 59. Parry SM, Berney S, Granger CL, Koopman R, El-Ansary D, Denehy L. Electrical muscle stimulation in the intensive care setting: a systematic review. Critical care medicine. 2013;41(10):2406-18.
- 60. Perme C, Nalty T, Winkelman C, Kenji Nawa R, Masud F. Safety and Efficacy of Mobility Interventions in Patients with Femoral Catheters in the ICU: A Prospective Observational Study. Cardiopulmonary physical therapy journal. 2013;24(2):12-7.
- 61. Poulsen JB, Moller K, Jensen CV, Weisdorf S, Kehlet , Perner A. Effect of transcutaneous electrical muscle stimulation on muscle volume in patients with septic shock. Critical care medicine. 2011;39(3):456-61.
- 62. Robinson BR, Berube M, Barr J, Riker R, Gelinas C. Psychometric analysis of subjective sedation scales in critically ill adults. Critical care medicine. 2013;41(9 Suppl 1):S16-29.
- 63. Reid DA, McNair PJ. Passive force, angle, and stiffness changes after stretching of hamstring muscles. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2004;36(11):1944-8.

- 64. Rod A. Physical Rehabilitation of Patients in the Intensive Care Unit Requiring Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygénation: A Small Case SeriesPhys Ther. 2013;93(2):248.
- 65. Rodriguez PO, Setten M, Maskin LP, Bonelli I, Vidomlansky SR, Attie S, et al. Muscle weakness in septic patients requiring mechanical ventilation: protective effect of transcutaneous neuromuscular electrical stimulation. Journal of critical care. 2012;27(3):319 e1-8.
- 66. Romer LM. Specifity and reversibility of inspiratory muscle training. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2003;35.
- 67. Roth C, Stitz, Kalhout A, Kleffmann J, Deinsberger, Ferbert A. Effect of early physiotherapy on intracranial pressure and cerebral perfusion pressure. Neurocritical care. 2013;18(1):33-8.
- 68. Routsi C, Gerovasili V, Vasileiadis I, Karatzanos E, Pitsolis T, Tripodaki E, et al. Electrical muscle stimulation prevents critical illness polyneuromyopathy: a randomized parallel intervention trial. Critical care. 2010;14(2):R74.
- 69. Ryf C, Weymann A. Range of Motion AO ASIF Neutral-0 Method: Measurement and Documentation. Stuttgart: Thieme; 1999.
- 70. Schweickert, Kress J. Implementing early mobilization interventions in mechanically ventilated patients in the ICU. Lancet. 2009;373:1874-82.
- 71. Sessler CN, Gosnell MS, Grap MJ, Brophy GM, O'Neal PV, Keane KA, et al. The Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale: validity and reliability in adult intensive care unit patients. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2002;166(10):1338-44.
- 72. Sricharoenchai T, Parker AM, Zanni JM, Nelliot A, Dinglas VD, Needham DM. Safety of physical therapy interventions in critically ill patients: a single-center prospective evaluation of 1110 intensive care unit admissions. Journal of critical care. 2014;29(3):395-400.
- 73. Stiller K. The safety of mobilisation and its effects on haemodynamic and respiratory status of intensive care patients. Physiotherapy theory and practice. 2004;20:175-85.
- 74. Stiller K. Safety issues that should be considered when mobilizing critically ill patients. Critical care clinics. 2007;23(1):35-53.
- 75. Stiller K. Physiotherapy in intensive care: an updated systematic review. Chest. 2013;144(3):825-47.
- 76. Stiller K, Phillips A. Safety aspects of mobilising acutely ill inpatients. Physiotherapy theory and practice. 2003;19:239-57.
- 77. Stockley R. Move it or lose it?: A survey of the aims of treatmentwhen using passive movements in intensive care. Intensive and Critical Care Nursing 2012;28:82-7.
- 78. Thomas A. Physiotherapy led early rehabilitation of the patient with critical illness. Physical Therapy Reviews. 2011;16:46-57.
- 79. Thomas AJ. Exercise intervention in the critical care unit -- what is the evidence? Physical Therapy Reviews. 2009;14(1):50-9.
- 80. Thomsen GE, Snow GL, Rodriguez L, Hopkins RO. Patients with respiratory failure increase ambulation after transfer to an intensive care unit where early activity is a priority. Crit Care Med. 2008;36(4):1119-24.
- 81. Tipping CJ, Young PJ, Romero L, Saxena MK, Dulhunty J, Hodgson CL. A systematic review of measurements of physical function in critically ill adults. Critical care and

- resuscitation: journal of the Australasian Academy of Critical Care Medicine. 2012;14(4):302-11.
- 82. Trush A. The Clinical Utility of the Functional Status Score for the Intensive Care Unit (FSS-ICU) at a Long-Term Acute Care Hospital: A Prospective Cohort Study. Physical therapy. 2012;92(12):1536- 45.
- 83. Vanpee G, Hermans G, Segers J, Gosselink R. Assessment of limb muscle strength in critically ill patients: a systematic review. Critical care medicine. 2014;42(3):701-11.
- 84. Vanpee G, Segers J, Van Mechelen , Wouters P, Van den Berghe G, Hermans G, et al. The interobserver agreement of handheld dynamometry for muscle strength assessment in critically ill patients. Critical care medicine. 2011;39(8):1929-34.
- 85. Verbeek JM. Royal Dutch Physiotherapy Association (KNGF) guideline stroke Royal Dutch Physiotherapy Association (KNGF); 2004. Available from: https://.fysionet-evidencebased.nl/index.php/component/kngf/richtlijnen/beroerte-2014.
- 86. Wilcox ME, Brummel NE, Archer K, Ely EW, Jackson JC, Hopkins RO. Cognitive dysfunction in ICU patients: risk factors, predictors, and rehabilitation interventions. Critical care medicine. 2013;41(9 Suppl 1):S81-98.
- 87. Williams N, Flynn M. A review of the efficacy of neuromuscular electrical stimulation in critically ill patients. Physiother Theory Pract. 2014;30(1):6-11.
- 88. Winkelman C. Examing the possitive effect of exercise in intubated adults in ICU: A prospective repeated measures clinical study. Intensive and Critical care Nursing. 2012:1-12.
- 89. Zafiropoulos B, Alison JA, McCarren B. Physiological responses to the early mobilisation of the intubated, ventilated abdominal surgery patient. The Australian journal of physiotherapy. 2004;50(2):95-100.
- 90. Zanni JM, Korupolu R, Fan E, Pradhan P, Janjua K, Palmer JB, et al. Rehabilitation therapy and outcomes in acute respiratory failure: an observational pilot project. Journal of critical care. 2010;25(2):254-62.

3. Appendix: work cards diagnostic and therapeutics process

Diagnostic Process

Screening

(Additional) patient history

It is recommended to screen every patient on the presence of red flags (contraindications) and relative contra-indications to consider (possible) risks and benefits before and during every physiotherapy treatment session.

The criteria mentioned below are (relative) contra indications for mobilizations out of bed and physical activities of intensive care patients and have to be taken into consideration during the clinical reasoning process.

An intensivist needs to be consulted in case of a patient showing one of the following conditions before mobilization/physical activities.

Red Flags (level 1)

Heart rate

- Recent myocardial ischemia
- Heart rate <40 and >130

Blood pressure

• MAP < 60 mmHg and > 110 mmHg

Oxygen Saturation

• <u>\$</u>90%

Parameters of Ventilation

- FiO2 ≥ 0.6
- PEEP: ≥ 10cm H2O

Respiratory Frequency

Respiratory Frequency > 40 p/M

Level of consciousness of patient

• RASS score: -4, -5, 3, 4

Doses inotropic

- High inotrope doses
 - o Dopamine ≥ 10 mcg/kg/min
 - o Nor/adrenaline ≥ 0,1mcg/kg/min

Temperature

- ≥ 38.5°C
- <u>≤</u> 36°C

Relative contra-indications (level 3 and 4)

- Clinical View
 - o Decreased level of awareness/consciousness
 - Sweating
 - o Abnormal face color
 - o Pain
 - Fatigue
- Unstable fractures
- Presence of lines that make mobilization unsafe.
- Neurological instability: ICP > 20 cmH2O

It is recommended to use these clinimetrics when needed for evaluate impairments and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

activities restrictions within the ICF classification.

Physiotherapeutic assessment of functional movement

Assessment of the musculoskeletal system

• Edema, muscle atrophy, contractures, deformities, bed sores, decubitus, wounds

Assessment

Function

- Cooperation
 - o S5Q (level 4)
- Active and Passive limitations in ROM
 - o ROM (level 4)
- Muscle strength
 - o MRC (sum) score (level 2)
 - Hand held dynamometer or hand grip strength (Jamar) if MRC score of 3 has been reached (level 2)
- Muscle tone
 - o MAS (level 4)
- Sensibility
 - o NSA (level 4)

Activities

- Transfers
 - o DEMMI (level 4)
- Walking
 - o DEMMI (level 4)

page 17

Therapeutic process Treatment

plan

Non-responsive and non-cooperative patient

- Rass Score < -2 (level 2)
- S5Q < 3 (level 4)

Passive (Note 3)

- Passive Exercise (level 2)
 - o Repetitions: 5 times/joint
 - o Sets: 1
 - o Frequency: Once daily
- Stretching (level 2)
 - o Duration: 20 minutes
- Passive cycling (level 2)
 - o Duration: 20 minutes
- EMS (level 1 and 2)
 - o Duration: 60 minutes
 - o Intensity: 45 Hz
 - o Frequency: Daily
- CPM (level 2)
 - o 3 x3 hours daily
- Splinting (level 4)
 - o Duration: 2 hours on and 2 hours off

Responsive and adequate patient

- Rass Score ≥ -2 (level 2)
- S5Q 2 3 (level 4)

Active (Note 3)

- Exercise Therapy (level 4)
 - o Intensity: (level 4)
 - BORG 11 13
 - Duration: (level 4)
 - Repetitions: 8-10
 - o Sets: 3 (level 4)
 - BORG 11 13
 - o Frequency: 1-2 times daily (level 4)
 - BORG 11 13
 - o Build up: (level 4)
 - Step 1: Increase duration
 - o Increase repetitions to 10
 - Step 2: Increase number of sets
 - o From 1 set to 3 sets
 - Step 3: Increase intensity
 - o From Borg score 11 to 13
 - Step 4: Increase frequency
 - From once daily to twice daily
- ADL training: Balance, standing, walking (level 3)
- Out of bed mobilization (level 2)
- Cycling (level 2)
 - o Duration: 20 minutes
 - Build up: Build up interval training towards 20 minutes

Treatment process

During the interventions, parameters of safety and effort should be monitored and evaluated (Note 4, 5, 6, 7)

- Heart rate (level 1)
- Blood pressure (level 1)
- Respiratory frequency (level 1)
- Oxygen saturation (level 1)
- Change in clinical symptoms such as: (level 3 and4)
 - o Level of awareness/consciousness
 - o Sweating
 - o Abnormal face color
 - Pain
 - o Fatigue
- Duration of the intervention (level 4)
- Number of repetitions (level 4)
- Number of sets (level 4)
- Frequency of the intervention(s) (level 4)
- BORG-score (level 4)

It is advised to stop therapy if the following criteria are met: (level 1)

- Heart rate: < 40; > 130
- Blood pressure MAP: 65 mmHg; > 110mmHg
- Respiratory frequency: > 40/min
- Oxygen Saturation: < 90%
- Arrhythmia

After the treatment

Screening continues